arXiv:1304.1580v1 [math.PR] 4 Apr 2013

# STOCHASTIC INTEGRAL REPRESENTATIONS FOR STRICTLY STABLE DISTRIBUTIONS

MAKOTO MAEJIMA[1,2], JAN ROSIŃSKI[3,4], AND YOHEI UEDA[1,5]

Abstract. Stochastic integral representations are used to classify and investigate special classes of infinitely divisible distributions. They reveal intrinsic structures of such classes. In this paper we develop stochastic integral representations for the class of strictly stable distributions on Euclidean spaces. We also determine the relation between the stochastic integral and LePage series representations for strictly stable distributions and characterize distributions for which both representations hold.

## 1. Introduction

Stochastic integral representations of special classes of infinitely divisible distributions reveal intrinsic structures of such classes, that can be used to determine relations among them and also to define and investigate new classes of interest; see, e.g., [1, 3, 8, 9, 13, 14] and (i)–(iv) below. In addition, such representations help to understand the nature of random mechanisms that produce particular classes of infinitely divisible distributions. The purpose of this paper is to determine a stochastic integral representation for the class of strictly stable distributions on $\mathbb{R}^d$.

Throughout this paper, $I(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denotes the class of all infinitely divisible distributions on $\mathbb{R}^d$, $\mathcal{L}(X)$ stands for the distribution of a random variable $X$, the $\delta$-distribution concentrated at $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is denoted by $\delta_a$, and given $\mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\{X_t^{(\mu)}\}$ denotes a Lévy process such that $\mathcal{L}(X_1) = \mu$.

To further motivate this work, let us recall stochastic integral representations for the following four subclasses of of $I(\mathbb{R}^d)$:

---

*Date*: April 8, 2013.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60E07.

*Key words and phrases.* stable distribution, stochastic integral representation, series representation.

[1] Department of Mathematics, Keio University, 3-14-1, Hiyoshi, Kohoku-ku, Yokohama 223-8522, Japan

[2] maejima@math.keio.ac.jp

[3] Department of Mathematics, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, USA

[4] rosinski@math.utk.edu

[5] ueda@2008.jukuin.keio.ac.jp

(i) $L(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the class of selfdecomposable distributions, which are limiting distributions of suitably normalized partial sums of independent random variables satisfying the infinitesimal condition. It has the stochastic integral representation

$$L(\mathbb{R}^d) = \left\{ \mathcal{L}\left( \int_0^\infty e^{-t} dX_t^{(\mu)} \right) : \mu \in I_{\log}(\mathbb{R}^d) \right\},$$

where $I_{\log}(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{\mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d)\colon \int_{|x|>1} \log|x| \mu(dx) < \infty\}$. (See Jurek and Vervaat [6], Sato and Yamazato [17], Wolfe [18].)

(ii) $B(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the Goldie-Steutel-Bondesson class, which is an extension to $\mathbb{R}^d$ of the smallest class that contains all mixtures of exponential distributions and that is closed under convolution and weak convergence on $\mathbb{R}_+$. It has the stochastic integral representation

$$B(\mathbb{R}^d) = \left\{ \mathcal{L}\left( \int_0^1 \log(t^{-1}) dX_t^{(\mu)} \right) : \mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d) \right\}.$$

(See Barndorff-Nielsen et al. [4].)

(iii) $G(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the class of generalized type $G$ distributions, which is an extension to $\mathbb{R}^d$ of the class of the variance mixtures of one-dimensional standard normal distributions with infinitely divisible mixing distributions. It has the stochastic integral representation

$$G(\mathbb{R}^d) = \left\{ \mathcal{L}\left( \int_0^{\sqrt{\pi}/2} g^*(t) dX_t^{(\mu)} \right) : \mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d) \right\},$$

where $g^*(t)$ is the inverse function of $g(s) = \int_s^\infty e^{-u^2} du, s > 0$. (See Aoyama and Maejima [2] for symmetric case and Maejima and Sato [7] for general case.)

(iv) $T(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the Thorin class, which is an extension to $\mathbb{R}^d$ of the smallest class that contains all gamma distributions and that is closed under convolution and weak convergence on $\mathbb{R}_+$. It has the stochastic integral representation

$$T(\mathbb{R}^d) = \left\{ \mathcal{L}\left( \int_0^\infty p^*(t) dX_t^{(\mu)} \right) : \mu \in I_{\log}(\mathbb{R}^d) \right\},$$

where $p^*(t)$ is the inverse function of $p(s) = \int_s^\infty e^{-u} u^{-1} du, s > 0$. (See Barndorff-Nielsen et al. [4].)

The common property of these representations is that each of them has a fixed function that generates the given class of distributions, in the sense that every distribution within the class, and only such distribution, is represented by a stochastic integral of the given function with respect to a Lévy processes. For example, $e^{-t}$ in (i) generates the class of selfdecomposable distributions. Surprisingly, the form of a stochastic integral representation for the most important subclass of $I(\mathbb{R}^d)$ consisting of stable distributions has been unknown.

In this paper we give such a representation, which is $\int_0^\infty t^{-1/\alpha} dX_t^{(\mu)}$, for all strictly $\alpha$-stable distributions when $\alpha \neq 1$. The case $\alpha = 1$ is more delicate, see Theorem 3.3. To better understand this representation, consider the case when $\{X_t^{(\mu)}\}$ is a compound Poisson process. That is, $X_t^{(\mu)} = \sum_{j\colon \tau_j \le t} V_j$, where $\tau_j$ is the $j$th arrival time of a Poisson process and $\{V_j\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables in $\mathbb{R}^d$, independent of $\{\tau_j\}$. In this case, at least formally,

$$\int_0^\infty t^{-1/\alpha} dX_t^{(\mu)} = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \tau_j^{-1/\alpha} V_j. \tag{1.1}$$

The right-hand side is known as the LePage representation of stable distributions. In Theorem 3.4 we investigate (1.1) and characterize the class of distributions representable by either side of this equation. It follows that the series representation (without centering) is a special case of our stochastic integral representation, the range of the latter representation is the whole class of strictly $\alpha$-stable distributions ($\alpha \neq 1$, all strictly stable distributions up to a shift when $\alpha = 1$). In Theorem 3.7 we address the issue of uniqueness of stochastic integral representations.

Finally, we remark that, as far as representations are concerned, the restriction to strictly stable distributions is not essential when $\alpha \neq 1$, because any $\alpha$-stable distribution is strictly stable up to a convolution with a $\delta$-distribution. (Theorem 14.7 in Sato [12]. See also the end of the section 2.)

## 2. Preliminaries

The characteristic function $\widehat{\mu}(z)$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$, of $\mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is given by the Lévy-Khintchine triplet $(A, \nu, \gamma)$ as follows

$$\widehat{\mu}(z) = \exp\left\{ -\frac{1}{2}\langle z, Az\rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(e^{i\langle z,x\rangle} - 1 - i\langle z, x\rangle \mathbb{1}_{\{|x|\le 1\}}(x)\right) \nu(dx) + i\langle \gamma, z\rangle \right\},$$

where $A$ is a $d \times d$ symmetric nonnegative-definite matrix, $\nu$ is a measure (called the Lévy measure of $\mu$) on $\mathbb{R}^d$ satisfying $\nu(\{0\}) = 0$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|x|^2 \wedge 1)\nu(dx) < \infty$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We will write $\mu = \mu_{(A,\nu,\gamma)}$ to denote an infinitely divisible distribution with the Lévy-Khintchine triplet $(A, \nu, \gamma)$. If $\mu = \mu_{(A,\nu,\gamma)}$ satisfies $\int_{|x|>1} |x|\nu(dx) < \infty$, then there exists the mean $\gamma^1 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ of $\mu$ such that

$$\widehat{\mu}(z) = \exp\left\{ -\frac{1}{2}\langle z, Az\rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(e^{i\langle z,x\rangle} - 1 - i\langle z, x\rangle\right) \nu(dx) + i\langle \gamma^1, z\rangle \right\}$$

and

$$\gamma^1 = \gamma + \int_{|x|>1} x\nu(dx).$$

In this case, we will write $\mu = \mu_{(A,\nu,\gamma^1)_1}$. If $\mu = \mu_{(A,\nu,\gamma)}$ satisfies $\int_{|x|\le 1} |x|\nu(dx) < \infty$, then there exists $\gamma^0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ (called the drift of $\mu$) such that

$$\widehat{\mu}(z) = \exp\left\{ -\frac{1}{2}\langle z, Az\rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(e^{i\langle z,x\rangle} - 1\right) \nu(dx) + i\langle \gamma^0, z\rangle \right\}$$

and

$$\gamma^0 = \gamma - \int_{|x|\le 1} x\nu(dx).$$

We will write $\mu = \mu_{(A,\nu,\gamma^0)_0}$ in this case.

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let* $\mu = \mu_{(A,\nu,\gamma)} \in I(\mathbb{R}^d)$. *Then* $\mu_{(A,\nu,0)_0} = \mu_{(A,\nu,0)_1}$ *if and only if* $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|\, \nu(dx) < \infty$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x\, \nu(dx) = 0$ *and* $\gamma = \int_{|x|\le 1} x\nu(dx)$.

We also use the following polar decomposition of a Lévy measure $\nu$. If $\nu \ne 0$, then there exist a measure $\lambda$ on $S = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d\colon |x| = 1\}$ with $0 < \lambda(S) \le \infty$ and a family $\{\nu_\xi, \xi \in S\}$ of measures on $(0,\infty)$ such that $\nu_\xi(B)$ is measurable in $\xi$ for each $B \in \mathcal{B}((0,\infty))$, $0 < \nu_\xi((0,\infty)) \le \infty$ for each $\xi \in S$ and

$$\nu(B) = \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty \mathbb{1}_B(r\xi)\nu_\xi(dr), \quad B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}). \tag{2.1}$$

Measure $\lambda$ is called the spherical component of $\nu$ and $\nu_\xi$ its radial component. If $\nu(\mathbb{R}^d) < \infty$, then we may and do assume that $\nu_\xi$ are probability measures. Indeed, consider a random vector $x \mapsto (\frac{x}{|x|}, |x|)$ under probability measure $\nu/\nu(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and let $\nu_\xi$ be the conditional distribution of $|x|$ given that $\frac{x}{|x|} = \xi$. Then $(\lambda, \nu_\xi)$ satisfy (2.1), with $\lambda(B) = \nu(\{x \ne 0 : \frac{x}{|x|} \in B\})$, $B \in \mathcal{B}(S)$.

Let $S_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the class of $\alpha$-stable distributions on $\mathbb{R}^d$, $0 < \alpha < 2$. The characteristic function of $\mu \in S_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is of the form: when $\alpha \ne 1$,

$$\widehat{\mu}(z) = \exp\left[ -\int_S |\langle z,\xi\rangle|^\alpha \left(1 - i\tan\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}\operatorname{sgn}\langle z,\xi\rangle\right) \lambda_1(d\xi) + i\langle z,\tau\rangle \right], \tag{2.2}$$

and when $\alpha = 1$,

$$\widehat{\mu}(z) = \exp\left[ -\int_S \left( |\langle z,\xi\rangle| + i\frac{2}{\pi}\langle z,\xi\rangle \log|\langle z,\xi\rangle| \right) \lambda_1(d\xi) + i\langle z,\tau\rangle \right], \tag{2.3}$$

where $\lambda_1$ is a finite measure on $S$, called the spectral measure of $\mu$, and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is a shift parameter. Recall that $\mu \in S_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is strictly stable when $\widehat{\mu}(bz) = \widehat{\mu}(z)^{b^\alpha}$ for all

$b > 0$ and $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Let $S_\alpha^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denote the class of strictly $\alpha$-stable distributions on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Then $\mu \in S_\alpha^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ if and only if

$$\begin{cases} \mu \in S_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ and } \tau = 0, & \text{when } \alpha \neq 1, \\ \mu \in S_1(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ and } \int_S \xi \lambda_1(d\xi) = 0, & \text{when } \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

(See, e.g., Theorem 14.10 in Sato [12].)

## 3. THE RESULTS

Recall that $\{X_t^{(\mu)}\}$ denotes a Lévy process such that $\mathcal{L}(X_1) = \mu \in I(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Let $0 < \alpha < 2$. Consider an improper stochastic integral defined by

$$\int_0^\infty t^{-1/\alpha} dX_t^{(\mu)} = \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0,\, T \uparrow \infty} \int_\varepsilon^T t^{-1/\alpha} dX_t^{(\mu)}$$

provided the limits in probability exists. In this case we will say that the improper stochastic integral is definable, see Sato [13]. Consider a mapping between infinitely divisible distributions $\mu \mapsto \Xi_\alpha(\mu)$ given by

$$\Xi_\alpha(\mu) = \mathcal{L}\left( \int_0^\infty t^{-1/\alpha} dX_t^{(\mu)} \right) \tag{3.1}$$

Let $\mathfrak{D}(\Xi_\alpha)$ denote the domain of this mapping. The following characterization of $\mathfrak{D}(\Xi_\alpha)$ follows from Proposition 5.3 and Example 4.5 of Sato [13].

**Theorem 3.1.** *(i) If $0 < \alpha < 1$, then*

$$\mathfrak{D}(\Xi_\alpha) = \left\{ \mu = \mu_{(0,\nu,0)_0} \in I(\mathbb{R}^d) \colon \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^\alpha \nu(dx) < \infty \right\}.$$

*(ii) If $\alpha = 1$, then*

$$\mathfrak{D}(\Xi_1) = \left\{ \mu = \mu_{(0,\nu,0)_0} = \mu_{(0,\nu,0)_1} \in I(\mathbb{R}^d) \colon \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x| \ \nu(dx) < \infty, \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \ \nu(dx) = 0, \right.$$
$$\left. \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \int_{|x| \le 1} x \log(|x| \vee \varepsilon) \ \nu(dx) \ \textit{and} \ \lim_{T \to \infty} \int_{|x| > 1} x \log(|x| \wedge T) \ \nu(dx) \ \textit{exist} \right\}.$$

*(iii) If $1 < \alpha < 2$, then*

$$\mathfrak{D}(\Xi_\alpha) = \left\{ \mu = \mu_{(0,\nu,0)_1} \in I(\mathbb{R}^d) \colon \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^\alpha \nu(dx) < \infty \right\}.$$

**Remark 3.2.** There is a simple sufficient condition for $\mu$ in (ii). Namely, $\mu = \mu_{(0,\nu,\gamma)} \in \mathfrak{D}(\Xi_1)$ if $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x| \, |\log |x|| \ \nu(dx) < \infty$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \ \nu(dx) = 0$, and $\gamma = \int_{|x| \le 1} x \ \nu(dx)$.

The next three theorems are main results of this paper. The first one gives a full characterization of the range of $\Xi_\alpha$. The second one connects the integral and series representations of stable distributions. The third one gives a subdomain of $\mathfrak{D}(\Xi_\alpha)$ on which $\Xi_\alpha$ is one-to-one.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let* $0<\alpha<2$.

*(i) When* $\alpha\neq 1$, *we have*

$$\Xi_\alpha(\mathfrak{D}(\Xi_\alpha)) = S^0_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

*(ii) When* $\alpha=1$, *we have*

$$\Xi_1(\mathfrak{D}(\Xi_1)) = \left\{\mu\in S^0_1(\mathbb{R}^d)\colon \tau\in \mathrm{span}\ \mathrm{supp}(\lambda_1)\right\}, \tag{3.2}$$

*where, respectively,* $\lambda_1$ *and* $\tau$ *are the spectral measure and the shift of* $\mu$ *given by* (2.3). *Here* $\mathrm{supp}(\lambda_1)$ *denotes the support of* $\lambda_1$. *If* $\lambda_1=0$, *then we put* $\mathrm{span}\ \mathrm{supp}(\lambda_1)=\{0\}$ *by convention.*

Let $\mathrm{CP}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denote the class of compound Poisson distributions on $\mathbb{R}^d$; $\mathrm{CP}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)=\{\mu_{(0,\nu,0)_0}:\ \nu(\mathbb{R}^d)<\infty\}$.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let* $\mu=\mu_{(0,\nu,0)_0}$ *be a compound Poisson distributions on* $\mathbb{R}^d$ *and let* $\{X^{(\mu)}_t\}$ *be the associated Lévy process. Hence* $X^{(\mu)}_t=\sum_{j\colon \tau_j\le t}V_j$, *where* $\{\tau_j\}$ *is a sequence of arrival times in a Poisson process with rate* $\theta=\nu(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *and* $\{V_j\}$ *is an i.i.d. sequence in* $\mathbb{R}^d$ *with the common distribution* $\theta^{-1}\nu$, *independent of* $\{\tau_j\}$. *Then*

$$\int_0^\infty t^{-1/\alpha}dX^{(\mu)}_t=\sum_{j=1}^\infty \tau_j^{-1/\alpha}V_j \tag{3.3}$$

*in the sense that the integral is definable if and only if the series converges a.s. and then the equality holds a.s. Consequently, the series in* (3.3) *converges a.s. if and only if* $\mu_{(0,\mathcal{L}(V_1),0)_0}\in\mathfrak{D}(\Xi_\alpha)$. *Furthermore,* $\Xi_\alpha(\mathfrak{D}(\Xi_\alpha)\cap \mathrm{CP}_0(\mathbb{R}^d))$ *is a subclass of* $S^0_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$ *consisting of distributions representable by either side of* (3.3). *We have, when* $0<\alpha<1$,

$$\Xi_\alpha(\mathfrak{D}(\Xi_\alpha)\cap \mathrm{CP}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)) = S^0_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d); \tag{3.4}$$

*when* $\alpha=1$,

$$\Xi_1(\mathfrak{D}(\Xi_1)\cap \mathrm{CP}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)) = \left\{\mu\in S^0_1(\mathbb{R}^d)\colon \tau\in \mathrm{span}\ \mathrm{supp}(\lambda_1)\right\}; \tag{3.5}$$

*and when* $1<\alpha<2$,

(3.6) $\Xi_\alpha(\mathfrak{D}(\Xi_\alpha)\cap \mathrm{CP}_0(\mathbb{R}^d))$

$$= \left\{ \mu \in S_\alpha^0(\mathbb{R}^d) \colon \exists q(\xi) > 0, \int_S q(\xi)\xi \ \lambda_1(d\xi) = 0 \ \mathit{and} \int_S q(\xi)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} \ \lambda_1(d\xi) < \infty \right\}$$
$$\subsetneqq S_\alpha^0(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

*In the above, respectively, $\lambda_1$ and $\tau$ are the spectral measure and the shift of $\mu$ given by* (2.2)–(2.3).

The following example sheds some light on the characterization (3.6).

**Example 3.5.** Let $\mu \in S_\alpha^0(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $1 < \alpha < 2$, have the spectral measure $\lambda_1$ supported by three vertices $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \in S$ of a proper triangle $\Delta$. Then

$$\mu \in \Xi_\alpha(\mathfrak{D}(\Xi_\alpha) \cap \mathrm{CP}_0(\mathbb{R}^2)) \iff \Delta \text{ is an acute triangle.}$$

Indeed, $\Delta$ is an acute triangle if and only if 0 belongs to the interior of $\Delta$, that is, $p_1\xi_1 + p_2\xi_2 + p_3\xi_3 = 0$ for some $p_1, p_2, p_3 > 0$. Define a function $q$ on $S$ by $q(\xi_i) = p_i/\lambda_1(\{\xi_i\})$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, and let $q(\xi) = 1$ otherwise. Such function satisfies (3.6). The converse is clear.

Let $\mathrm{CP}_0(S)$ stand for the totality of compound Poisson distributions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with finite Lévy measure supported on $S$ and let $\mathrm{CP}_1(S)$ be the totality of infinitely divisible distributions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with Gaussian covariance matrix 0, finite Lévy measure supported on $S$ and mean 0. Then $\mathrm{CP}_0(S) \subset \mathfrak{D}(\Xi_\alpha)$ for $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\mathrm{CP}_0(S) \cap \mathrm{CP}_1(S) \subset \mathfrak{D}(\Xi_1)$, and $\mathrm{CP}_1(S) \subset \mathfrak{D}(\Xi_\alpha)$ for $1 < \alpha < 2$.

**Remark 3.6.** The mappings $\Xi_\alpha, 0 < \alpha < 2$, are not injective. Let us prove it in the case $\alpha = 1$; the proof for $\alpha \neq 1$ is similar. Let $\widetilde{\mu} = \widetilde{\mu}_{(0,\widetilde{\nu},0)} \in \Xi_1(\mathfrak{D}(\Xi_1))$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\nu}(B) &= \int_S \widetilde{\lambda}(d\xi) \int_0^\infty \mathbb{1}_B(r\xi) r^{-2} dr \\
&= \int_0^\infty du \int_S \widetilde{\lambda}(d\xi) \int_0^\infty \mathbb{1}_B(u^{-1} r\xi) \delta_1(dr) \\
&= \int_0^\infty du \int_S \widetilde{\lambda}(d\xi) \int_0^\infty \mathbb{1}_B(u^{-1} r\xi) 2^{-1} \delta_2(dr).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\mu_1$ and $\mu_2$ have the Lévy-Khintchine triplets $(0, \nu_1, 0)$ and $(0, \nu_2, 0)$, where $\nu_1$ and $\nu_2$ have polar decompositions $(\widetilde{\lambda}, \delta_1)$ and $(\widetilde{\lambda}, 2^{-1}\delta_2)$, respectively. Then $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathfrak{D}(\Xi_1)$, $\mu_1 \neq \mu_2$ and $\Xi_1(\mu_1) = \Xi_1(\mu_2) = \widetilde{\mu}$. See also Remark 6.4 of Barndorff-Nielsen et al. [5]. However, as shown in what follows, the restrictions $\Xi_\alpha|_{\mathrm{CP}_0(S)}$ with $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\Xi_1|_{\mathrm{CP}_0(S) \cap \mathrm{CP}_1(S)}$ and $\Xi_\alpha|_{\mathrm{CP}_1(S)}$ with $1 < \alpha < 2$ are injective.

**Theorem 3.7.** *(i) When* $0 < \alpha < 1$*, we have*

$$\Xi_\alpha(\mathrm{CP}_0(S)) = S^0_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

*and the injectivity of the restriction* $\Xi_\alpha|_{\mathrm{CP}_0(S)}$*. Especially, in the case* $d = 1$*,*

$$S^0_\alpha(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \mathcal{L}\left( \int_0^\infty t^{-1/\alpha} d(N_1(at) - N_2(bt)) \right) : a, b \geq 0 \right\},$$

*where* $\{N_1(t)\}$ *and* $\{N_2(t)\}$ *are independent Poisson processes with unit rate.*

*(ii) When* $\alpha = 1$*, we have*

$$\Xi_1(\mathrm{CP}_0(S) \cap \mathrm{CP}_1(S)) = \{\mu \in S^0_1(\mathbb{R}^d) \colon \textit{the shift parameter } \tau \textit{ in } (2.3) \textit{ is } 0\}$$

*and the injectivity of the restriction* $\Xi_1|_{\mathrm{CP}_0(S)\cap\mathrm{CP}_1(S)}$*. Especially, in the case* $d = 1$*,*

$$\{\mu \in S^0_1(\mathbb{R}) \colon \tau = 0\} = \left\{ \mathcal{L}\left( \int_0^\infty t^{-1} d(N_1(at) - N_2(at)) \right) : a \geq 0 \right\}.$$

*(iii) When* $1 < \alpha < 2$*, we have*

$$\Xi_\alpha(\mathrm{CP}_1(S)) = S^0_\alpha(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

*and the injectivity of the restriction* $\Xi_\alpha|_{\mathrm{CP}_1(S)}$*. Especially, in the case* $d = 1$*,*

$$S^0_\alpha(\mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \mathcal{L}\left( \int_0^\infty t^{-1/\alpha} d(N_1(at) - N_2(bt) - (a-b)t) \right) : a, b \geq 0 \right\}.$$

In the case (ii) of Theorem 3.7, the range of $\Xi_1|_{\mathrm{CP}_0(S)\cap\mathrm{CP}_1(S)}$ is smaller than that of $\Xi_1$. Hence there is a subdomain $\mathfrak{D}$ such that $\mathrm{CP}_0(S) \cap \mathrm{CP}_1(S) \subsetneqq \mathfrak{D} \subsetneqq \mathfrak{D}(\Xi_1)$, $\Xi_1(\mathfrak{D}) = \Xi_1(\mathfrak{D}(\Xi_1))$ and the restriction $\Xi_1|_{\mathfrak{D}}$ is injective. It is an interesting question to characterize the class $\mathfrak{D}$.

## 4. PROOFS

*Proof of Theorem 3.1.* Statements (i) and (iii) follow from Proposition 5.3 and Example 4.5 of Sato [13], as does (ii), because

$$\int_\varepsilon^1 t^{-1} dt \int_{|x|<t} x\nu(dx) = \int_{|x|\leq 1} x \log(|x| \vee \varepsilon)\ \nu(dx).$$

and

$$\int_1^T t^{-1} dt \int_{|x|>t} x\nu(dx) = \int_{|x|>1} x \log(|x| \wedge T)\ \nu(dx)$$

The condition $\int |x|\ \nu(dx) < \infty$ justifies the interchange of the order of integration in these integrals. □

The following lemma is needed for the proof of the next theorem.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let $\lambda$ be a non-zero finite measure on $S$. Then, for any $a \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $a \in \operatorname{span} \operatorname{supp}(\lambda)$ if and only if $a = \int_S \xi f(\xi)\ \lambda(d\xi)$ for some $f \in L^\infty(S, \lambda)$.*

*Proof.* Consider a linear subspace $H$ of $\mathbb{R}^d$ given by

$$H = \left\{ \int_S \xi f(\xi)\ \lambda(d\xi) \colon f \in L^\infty(S, \lambda) \right\}$$

and let $H^\perp$ be its orthogonal complement. Let $K = \operatorname{span} \operatorname{supp}(\lambda)$ and $K^\perp$ be the orthogonal complement of $K$. If $p \in H^\perp$, then

$$\int_S \langle p, \xi \rangle f(\xi)\ \lambda(d\xi) = \left\langle p, \int_S \xi f(\xi)\ \lambda(d\xi) \right\rangle = 0$$

for all $f \in L^\infty(S, \lambda)$, which implies $\langle p, \xi \rangle = 0$ for $\lambda$-almost all $\xi$. Hence $p \in K^\perp$. The converse inclusion, $K^\perp \subset H^\perp$ is obvious from the displayed equality, so that $H^\perp = K^\perp$. This proves $H = K$. $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.3.* We first show that $\Xi_\alpha(\mathfrak{D}(\Xi_\alpha)) \subset S_\alpha^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. It is enough to prove the strict stability of $Y := \int_0^\infty t^{-1/\alpha} dX_t^{(\mu)}$ when $\mu \in \mathfrak{D}(\Xi_\alpha)$. Let $\overline{Y}$ be an independent copy of $Y$. Then $\overline{Y} \overset{\mathrm{d}}{=} \int_0^\infty t^{-1/\alpha} d\overline{X}_t^{(\mu)}$ with an independent copy $\{\overline{X}_t^{(\mu)}\}$ of $\{X_t^{(\mu)}\}$. For any $c_1, c_2 > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&c_1 Y + c_2 \overline{Y} \\
&\quad = \int_0^\infty (c_1^{-\alpha} t)^{-1/\alpha} dX_t^{(\mu)} + \int_0^\infty (c_2^{-\alpha} t)^{-1/\alpha} d\overline{X}_t^{(\mu)} \\
&\quad = \int_0^\infty s^{-1/\alpha} dX_{c_1^\alpha s}^{(\mu)} + \int_0^\infty s^{-1/\alpha} d\overline{X}_{c_2^\alpha s}^{(\mu)} \overset{\mathrm{d}}{=} \int_0^\infty s^{-1/\alpha} d\left( X_s^{(\mu^{c_1^\alpha})} + \overline{X}_s^{(\mu^{c_2^\alpha})} \right) \\
&\quad \overset{\mathrm{d}}{=} \int_0^\infty s^{-1/\alpha} dX_s^{(\mu^{c_1^\alpha} * \mu^{c_2^\alpha})} \overset{\mathrm{d}}{=} \int_0^\infty s^{-1/\alpha} dX_s^{(\mu^{c_1^\alpha + c_2^\alpha})} \\
&\quad \overset{\mathrm{d}}{=} \int_0^\infty s^{-1/\alpha} dX_{(c_1^\alpha + c_2^\alpha)s}^{(\mu)} = \int_0^\infty \left( (c_1^\alpha + c_2^\alpha)^{-1} t \right)^{-1/\alpha} dX_t^{(\mu)} \\
&\quad = (c_1^\alpha + c_2^\alpha)^{1/\alpha} \int_0^\infty t^{-1/\alpha} dX_t^{(\mu)} = (c_1^\alpha + c_2^\alpha)^{1/\alpha} Y.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows the strict stability of $Y$.

We will also need the following relations. If $\mu = \mu_{(0,\nu,\gamma)} \in \mathfrak{D}(\Xi_\alpha)$, then $\Xi_\alpha(\mu) = \widetilde{\mu} = \widetilde{\mu}_{(0,\widetilde{\nu},\widetilde{\gamma})}$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\nu}(B) &= \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{1}_B(t^{-1/\alpha} x)\ \nu(dx) dt \qquad (4.1) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^\infty \mathbb{1}_B \left( r \frac{x}{|x|} \right) \alpha r^{-\alpha-1} |x|^\alpha\ dr \nu(dx)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty \mathbb{1}_B(r\xi) r^{-\alpha-1}\, dr,$$

with

$$\lambda(B) = \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{1}_B\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right) |x|^\alpha\, \nu(dx), \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\widetilde{\gamma} = \lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0,\, T\uparrow\infty} \int_\varepsilon^T t^{-1/\alpha} dt \left(\gamma + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \left(\mathbb{1}_{\{|t^{-1/\alpha}x|\le 1\}} - \mathbb{1}_{\{|x|\le 1\}}\right) \nu(dx)\right). \tag{4.3}$$

It follows that the spectral measure $\lambda_1$ of $\widetilde{\mu}$ is given by $\lambda_1 = |\Gamma(-\alpha)\cos\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}| \cdot \lambda$ when $\alpha \neq 1$ and $\lambda_1 = \frac{\pi}{2}\lambda$ when $\alpha = 1$, where $\lambda$ is given by (4.2); see the proof of Theorem 14.10 in Sato [12].

(i) ($\alpha \neq 1$). We only need to show that $S_\alpha^0(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \Xi_\alpha(\mathfrak{D}(\Xi_\alpha))$.

Case $0 < \alpha < 1$: If $\widetilde{\mu} = \widetilde{\mu}_{(0,\widetilde{\nu},\widetilde{\gamma}^0)_0} \in S_\alpha^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then $\widetilde{\gamma}^0 = 0$. Take $\mu = \mu_{(0,\nu,0)_0}$ with $\nu = \alpha^{-1}|\Gamma(-\alpha)\cos\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}|^{-1}\lambda_1$, where $\lambda_1$ is the spectral measure of $\widetilde{\mu}$. Then $\mu \in \mathfrak{D}(\Xi_\alpha)$ and, using (4.1)–(4.3), it is easy to check that $\Xi_\alpha(\mu) = \widetilde{\mu}$.

Case $1 < \alpha < 2$: If $\widetilde{\mu} = \widetilde{\mu}_{(0,\widetilde{\nu},\widetilde{\gamma}^1)_1} \in S_\alpha^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then $\widetilde{\gamma}^1 = 0$. Take $\mu = \mu_{(0,\nu,0)_1}$ with $\nu = \alpha^{-1}|\Gamma(-\alpha)\cos\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}|^{-1}\lambda_1$, where $\lambda_1$ is the spectral measure of $\widetilde{\mu}$. Then $\mu \in \mathfrak{D}(\Xi_\alpha)$ and, similarly as above, we verify that $\Xi_\alpha(\mu) = \widetilde{\mu}$.

(ii) ($\alpha = 1$). This case is more delicate and its proof is more involved. Let $\mu_1 \in S_1^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be given by (2.3) with $\int_S \xi\ \lambda_1(d\xi) = 0$ and $\tau \in \operatorname{span}\operatorname{supp}(\lambda_1)$. Put $\lambda = \frac{2}{\pi}\lambda_1$.

By Lemma 4.1 there is an $f \in L^\infty(S,\lambda)$ such that $\tau = \int_S \xi f(\xi)\ \lambda(d\xi)$. Put $g(\xi) = e^{-f(\xi)}$. Then $\varepsilon_0 < g(\xi) < T_0$ $\lambda$-a.e. $\xi \in S$ for some $0 < \varepsilon_0 < 1 < T_0$ and

$$\tau = -\int_S \xi \log g(\xi)\ \lambda(d\xi).$$

Define a measure $\nu$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$ by

$$\nu(B) = \int_S \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty \mathbb{1}_B(r\xi)\, \frac{1}{g(\xi)}\, \delta_{g(\xi)}(dr) = \int_S \mathbb{1}_B(g(\xi)\xi)\, \frac{1}{g(\xi)}\, \lambda(d\xi).$$

Notice that $\nu$ is a finite measure concentrated on the annulus $\{\varepsilon_0 < |x| < T_0\}$. Therefore, it clearly satisfies the first, third and forth conditions on $\nu$ of Theorem 3.1(ii). The second condition is also immediate as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x\ \nu(dx) = \int_S \xi\lambda(d\xi) = 0.$$

Thus $\mu = \mu_{(0,\nu,0)_0} = \mu_{(0,\nu,\gamma)} \in \mathfrak{D}(\Xi_1)$, where $\gamma = \int_{|x|\le 1} x\ \nu(dx)$. Consider $\Xi_1(\mu) = \widetilde{\mu}_{(0,\widetilde{\nu},\widetilde{\gamma})}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\nu}(B) &= \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{1}_B(t^{-1}x)\ \nu(dx)dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_S \mathbb{1}_B(t^{-1}g(\xi)\xi)\frac{1}{g(\xi)}\ \lambda(d\xi)dt \\ &= \int_S \lambda(d\xi)\int_0^\infty \mathbb{1}_B(r\xi)r^{-2}\ dr\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{\gamma} &= \lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0, T\uparrow\infty}\int_\varepsilon^T t^{-1}dt\left(\gamma + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x\left(\mathbb{1}_{\{|t^{-1}x|\le 1\}} - \mathbb{1}_{\{|x|\le 1\}}\right)\nu(dx)\right) \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0, T\uparrow\infty}\int_\varepsilon^T t^{-1}dt\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x\mathbb{1}_{\{|t^{-1}x|\le 1\}}\ \nu(dx) \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0, T\uparrow\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x\ \nu(dx)\int_{\varepsilon\vee|x|}^T t^{-1}\ dt = -\int_S \xi\log g(\xi)\ \lambda(d\xi) = \tau.\end{aligned}$$

Note that the shift parameter in (2.3) of $\Xi_1(\mu)$ is $\widetilde{\gamma}+c\int_S \xi\lambda(d\xi)$, where $c$ is a constant; see the proof of Theorem 14.10 in Sato [12]. Since $\int_S \xi\lambda(d\xi) = 0$, the shift parameter of $\Xi_1(\mu)$ is $\widetilde{\gamma}$. Thus $\Xi_1(\mu) \in S_1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ has the spectral measure $\frac{\pi}{2}\lambda = \lambda_1$ and a shift $\tau$. This proves that $\Xi_1(\mu) = \mu_1$.

Conversely, let $\widetilde{\mu} \in \Xi_1(\mathfrak{D}(\Xi_1))$. Then for some $\mu = \mu_{(0,\nu,\gamma)} \in \mathfrak{D}(\Xi_1)$, $\widetilde{\mu} = \Xi_\alpha(\mu) = \widetilde{\mu}_{(0,\widetilde{\nu},\widetilde{\gamma})}$. $\widetilde{\mu} \in S_1^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ has the spectral measure $\lambda_1 = \frac{\pi}{2}\lambda$, where

$$\lambda(B) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{1}_B\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)|x|\ \nu(dx),$$

and a shift

$$\begin{aligned}\tau &:= \lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0, T\uparrow\infty}\int_\varepsilon^T t^{-1}dt\left(\gamma + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x\left(\mathbb{1}_{\{|t^{-1}x|\le 1\}} - \mathbb{1}_{\{|x|\le 1\}}\right)\nu(dx)\right) \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0, T\uparrow\infty}\int_\varepsilon^T t^{-1}dt\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x\mathbb{1}_{\{|t^{-1}x|\le 1\}}\ \nu(dx). \qquad (4.4)\end{aligned}$$

Consider a polar decomposition (2.1) of a finite measure $\rho$, given by $\rho(dx) = |x|\nu(dx)$, into the spherical component $\lambda$, given above, and the radial component $\rho_\xi$. $\rho_\xi$ are probability measures. We have for $0 < \varepsilon < 1 < T$,

$$\begin{aligned}\tau_\varepsilon^T &:= \int_\varepsilon^T t^{-1}dt\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x\mathbb{1}_{\{|t^{-1}x|\le 1\}}\ \nu(dx) = \left(\int_\varepsilon^1 + \int_1^T\right)t^{-1}dt\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x\mathbb{1}_{\{|t^{-1}x|\le 1\}}\ \nu(dx) \\ &= -\int_{|x|\le 1} x\log(\varepsilon\vee|x|)\ \nu(dx) - \int_{|x|>1} x\log(T\wedge|x|)\ \nu(dx)\end{aligned}$$

$$= -\int_S \xi \ \lambda(d\xi) \int_0^\infty \left(\log(\varepsilon \vee r)\mathbb{1}_{\{r\le 1\}} + \log(T \wedge r)\mathbb{1}_{\{r>1\}}\right) \ \rho_\xi(dr)$$

Since $\xi \mapsto \int_0^\infty \left(\log(\varepsilon \vee r)\mathbb{1}_{\{r\le 1\}} + \log(T \wedge r)\mathbb{1}_{\{r>1\}}\right) \ \rho_\xi(dr)$ is a bounded function ($\rho_\xi(0,\infty) = 1$), $\tau_\varepsilon^T \in \text{span supp}(\lambda) = \text{span supp}(\lambda_1)$ by Lemma 4.1. Thus $\tau = \lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0, T\uparrow\infty} \tau_\varepsilon^T \in \text{span supp}(\lambda_1)$.

Finally, if $\Xi_1(\mu) = \delta_\tau$ then $\lambda_1 = 0$, so that $\nu = 0$. Hence $\tau = 0$ by (4.4). The proof of Theorem 3.3 is complete. ☐

*Proof of Theorem 3.4.* Let $\Gamma_j = \theta\tau_j$. Then $\{\Gamma_j\}$ is a sequence of arrival times in a Poisson process of rate one and we can write (3.3) as

$$\int_0^\infty t^{-1/\alpha} dX_t^{(\mu)} = \theta^{1/\alpha} \sum_{j=1}^\infty \Gamma_j^{-1/\alpha} V_j. \tag{4.5}$$

Applying Theorem 4.1 of [11] we get that the series converges a.s. if and only if $E|V|^\alpha = \theta^{-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^\alpha \ \nu(dx) < \infty$ (where $V = V_1$) and the limit

$$a := \lim_{T\to\infty} \int_0^T E\left[t^{-1/\alpha} V \mathbb{1}_{\{|t^{-1/\alpha}V|\le 1\}}\right] \ dt \quad \text{exists in } \mathbb{R}^d. \tag{4.6}$$

If $\alpha \ne 1$ then

$$a = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} \lim_{T\to\infty} EV\mathbb{1}_{\{|V|\le T^{1/\alpha}\}}(T^{1-1/\alpha} - |V|^{\alpha-1}). \tag{4.7}$$

Let $0 < \alpha < 1$. Notice that for every $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\limsup_{T\to\infty} T^{1-1/\alpha}|EV\mathbb{1}_{\{|V|\le T^{1/\alpha}\}}| &\le \limsup_{T\to\infty} \ T^{1-1/\alpha}E|V|\mathbb{1}_{\{|V|\le \varepsilon T^{1/\alpha}\}} \\
&\quad + \limsup_{T\to\infty} \ T^{1-1/\alpha}E|V|\mathbb{1}_{\{\varepsilon T^{1/\alpha}<|V|\le T^{1/\alpha}\}} \\
&\le \limsup_{T\to\infty} \ T^{1-1/\alpha}(\varepsilon T^{1/\alpha})^{1-\alpha}E|V|^\alpha + \limsup_{T\to\infty} E|V|^\alpha 1_{\{\varepsilon T^{1/\alpha}<|V|\le T^{1/\alpha}\}} \\
&= \varepsilon^{1-\alpha}E|V|^\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $\varepsilon \to 0$ we show that $\limsup_{T\to\infty} T^{1-1/\alpha}EV\mathbb{1}_{\{|V|\le T^{1/\alpha}\}} = 0$. Therefore,

$$a = \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} E\left[\frac{V}{|V|}|V|^\alpha\right].$$

We conclude that the series in (4.5) converges a.s. if and only if $E|V|^\alpha < \infty$, that is, $\mu \in \mathfrak{D}(\Xi_\alpha)$ when $0 < \alpha < 1$.

If $1 < \alpha < 2$ and $\mu \in \mathfrak{D}(\Xi_\alpha)$, then $E|V|^\alpha < \infty$. Since also $EV = 0$ we get

$$a = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} \lim_{T\to\infty} EV\mathbb{1}_{\{|V|\le T^{1/\alpha}\}}(T^{1-1/\alpha} - |V|^{\alpha-1})$$

$$= \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} \lim_{T\to\infty} EV(T^{1-1/\alpha} - |V|^{\alpha-1} \wedge T^{1-1/\alpha}) = \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} E\left[\frac{V}{|V|}|V|^{\alpha}\right].$$

Thus, the series in (4.5) converges a.s. Conversely, if the series in (4.5) converges a.s. then $E|V|^{\alpha} < \infty$ and the limit in (4.7) exists. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{T\to\infty} \left[EV\mathbb{1}_{\{|V|\le(2T)^{1/\alpha}\}}((2T)^{1-1/\alpha} - |V|^{\alpha-1}) - EV\mathbb{1}_{\{|V|\le T^{1/\alpha}\}}(T^{1-1/\alpha} - |V|^{\alpha-1})\right] \\ &= (2^{1-1/\alpha} - 1) \lim_{T\to\infty} T^{1-1/\alpha} EV\mathbb{1}_{\{|V|\le T^{1/\alpha}\}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $EV = 0$. Thus $\mu \in \mathfrak{D}(\Xi_\alpha)$. We conclude that, when $\alpha \neq 1$, the series in (4.5) converges a.s. if and only if $\mu \in \mathfrak{D}(\Xi_\alpha)$.

Now consider $\alpha = 1$. Suppose that the series in (4.5) converges a.s. Then $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|\,\nu(dx) = \theta E|V| < \infty$ and the limit (4.6)

$$a := \lim_{T\to\infty} \int_0^T E\left[t^{-1}V\mathbb{1}_{\{|t^{-1}V|\le 1\}}\right]\,dt = \lim_{T\to\infty} EV\mathbb{1}_{\{|V|\le T\}} \log\frac{T}{|V|} \tag{4.8}$$

exists. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{T\to\infty} \left[EV\mathbb{1}_{\{|V|\le 2T\}} \log\frac{2T}{|V|} - EV\mathbb{1}_{\{|V|\le T\}} \log\frac{T}{|V|}\right] \\ &= \lim_{T\to\infty} \left[\log 2\, EV\mathbb{1}_{\{|V|\le 2T\}} + EV\mathbb{1}_{\{T<|V|\le 2T\}} \log\frac{T}{|V|}\right] = \log 2\, EV. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x\,\nu(dx) = \theta EV = 0$. The condition $\int_{|x|\le 1} |x|\,|\log|x||\,\nu(x) < \infty$ obviously holds because $\nu$ is a finite measure. Then for $T > 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|x|>1} x\log(|x|\wedge T)\,\nu(dx) &= \int_{1<|x|\le T} x\log|x|\,\nu(dx) + \log T \int_{|x|>T} x\,\nu(dx) \\ &= \int_{1<|x|\le T} x\log|x|\,\nu(dx) - \log T \int_{|x|\le T} x\,\nu(dx) \\ &= -\int_{|x|\le T} x\log\frac{T}{|x|}\,\nu(dx) - \int_{|x|\le 1} x\log|x|\,\nu(dx) \\ &= -\theta EV\mathbb{1}_{\{|V|\le T\}} \log\frac{T}{|V|} - \int_{|x|\le 1} x\log|x|\,\nu(dx). \end{aligned}$$

Since the limit in (4.8) exists, $\lim_{T\to\infty} \int_{|x|>1} x\log(|x|\wedge T)\,\nu(dx)$ exists as well. Thus $\mu \in \mathfrak{D}(\Xi_1)$. Conversely, if $\mu \in \mathfrak{D}(\Xi_1)$, then $EV = 0$ and the above computation shows that the limit in (4.8) exists. This completes the case $\alpha = 1$.

We have proved that one side in (3.3) exists if and only if the other one does. Now we will show that they are equal. Let $N_t = \max\{j : \tau_j \le t\}$. For any fixed

$T > 0$ we have

$$\int_0^T t^{-1/\alpha}\, dX_t^{(\mu)} = \sum_{j=1}^{N_T} \tau_j^{-1/\alpha} V_j.$$

The integral is definable if and only if the series converges a.s., so passing $T \to \infty$ yields the almost sure equality in (3.3).

Relation (3.4) is immediate from the proof of Theorem 3.3(i), where we constructed for any $\mu_1 \in S_\alpha^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $0 < \alpha < 1$, a distribution $\mu = \mu_{(0,\nu,0)_0} \in \mathrm{CP}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\Xi_\alpha(\mu) = \mu_1$. Similarly, the proof Theorem 3.3(ii) gives for any $\mu_1 \in S_1^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ having $\tau \in \operatorname{span}\operatorname{supp}(\lambda_1)$, a distribution $\mu = \mu_{(0,\nu,0)_0} \in \mathrm{CP}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\Xi_1(\mu) = \mu_1$. This shows (3.4).

Now we will prove (3.6), $1 < \alpha < 2$. Let $\widetilde{\mu} = \Xi_\alpha(\mu)$, where $\mu \in \mathfrak{D}(\Xi_\alpha) \cap \mathrm{CP}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Hence $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^\alpha\, \nu(dx) < \infty$ and $\mu = \mu_{(0,\nu,0)_1} = \mu_{(0,\nu,0)_0}$, so that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x\ \nu(dx) = 0$ by Corollary 2.1. Moreover, $\nu(\mathbb{R}^d) < \infty$. Consider a polar decomposition (2.1) of $\rho(dx) := \alpha |x|^\alpha\ \nu(dx)$, where the radial components $\rho_\xi$ are probability measures and the spherical component $\lambda$ is given by (4.2). Define

$$q(\xi) = \int_0^\infty r^{1-\alpha}\ \rho_\xi(dr).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_S q(\xi)\xi\ \lambda(d\xi) &= \int_S \int_0^\infty \xi\ r^{1-\alpha}\ \rho_\xi(dr)\lambda(d\xi)\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x\ |x|^{-\alpha}\ \rho(dx) = \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x\ \nu(dx) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

and by Jensen's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_S q(\xi)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}\ \lambda(d\xi) &= \int_S \left(\int_0^\infty r^{1-\alpha}\ \rho_\xi(dr)\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} \lambda(d\xi)\\ &\le \int_S \int_0^\infty r^{-\alpha}\ \rho_\xi(dr)\lambda(d\xi) = \alpha \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \nu(dx) < \infty.\end{aligned}$$

As noted in the comment following (4.2), the spectral measure $\lambda_1$ of $\widetilde{\mu}$ is proportional to $\lambda$. Therefore, $q$ satisfies the conditions of (3.6).

To prove the converse inclusion, let $\mu_1$ be a strictly $\alpha$-stable distribution with the spectral measure $\lambda_1$ such that for some function $q$ the conditions of (3.6) hold. Define a measure $\nu$ by

$$\nu(B) = c \int_S \lambda_1(d\xi) \int_0^\infty \mathbb{1}_B(r\xi)\ q(\xi)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}\ \delta_{q(\xi)^{\frac{1}{1-\alpha}}}(dr),$$

where $c$ is a constant to be determined later. Then $\nu(\mathbb{R}^d) = c\int_S q(\xi)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}\lambda_1(d\xi) < \infty$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |x|^\alpha \ \nu(dx) = c\int_S \lambda_1(d\xi) < \infty.$$

Moreover,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x \ \nu(dx) = c\int_S q(\xi)\xi \ \lambda_1(d\xi) = 0.$$

Thus $\mu = \mu_{(0,\nu,0)_1} \in \mathfrak{D}(\Xi_\alpha) \cap \mathrm{CP}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Finally, for any $B \in \mathcal{B}(S)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{1}_B\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)|x|^\alpha \nu(dx) = c\int_S \mathbb{1}_B(\xi) \ \lambda_1(d\xi) = c\lambda_1(B).$$

Hence, taking $c = |\alpha\Gamma(-\alpha)\cos\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}|^{-1}$, we get $\mu_1 = \Xi_\alpha(\mu)$.

The strict inclusion in (3.6) is obvious. Indeed, let $\mu \in S_\alpha^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ have the spectral measure $\lambda_1 = \delta_v$, where $v \in S$. Since $\int_S q(\xi)\xi \ \lambda_1(d\xi) = q(v)v \neq 0$ for any positive function $q$, $\mu \notin \Xi_\alpha(\mathfrak{D}(\Xi_\alpha) \cap \mathrm{CP}_0(\mathbb{R}^d))$. The proof of Theorem 3.4 is complete. ☐

*Proof of Theorem 3.7.* (i) The inclusion $\Xi_\alpha(\mathrm{CP}_0(S)) \subset S_\alpha^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is obvious by Theorem 3.3. Let $\widetilde{\mu} \in S_\alpha^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In the proof of Theorem 3.3 we constructed a distribution $\mu = \mu_{(0,\nu,0)_0} \in \mathrm{CP}_0(S)$ such that $\Xi_\alpha(\mu) = \widetilde{\mu}$. Thus $\Xi_\alpha(\mathrm{CP}_0(S)) \supset S_\alpha^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Let $\mu_1 = \mu_{1(0,\nu_1,0)_0}, \mu_2 = \mu_{2(0,\nu_2,0)_0} \in \mathrm{CP}_0(S)$ and $\Xi_\alpha(\mu_1) = \Xi_\alpha(\mu_2)$. Then by (4.1) and (4.2), the spectral measure of $\Xi_\alpha(\mu_1)$ is $\alpha|\Gamma(-\alpha)\cos\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}|\nu_1$. By the uniqueness of spectral measure, we have $\alpha|\Gamma(-\alpha)\cos\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}|\nu_1 = \alpha|\Gamma(-\alpha)\cos\frac{\pi\alpha}{2}|\nu_2$. Thus $\mu_1 = \mu_2$.

In the case $d = 1$, $S = \{-1, 1\}$ and hence

$$\Xi_\alpha(\mathrm{CP}_0(S)) = \left\{\mathcal{L}\left(\int_0^\infty t^{-1/\alpha} d(N_1(at) - N_2(bt))\right) : a, b \geq 0\right\}.$$

(ii) Let $\widetilde{\mu} = \widetilde{\mu}_{(0,\widetilde{\nu},\widetilde{\gamma})} \in \Xi_1(\mathrm{CP}_0(S) \cap \mathrm{CP}_1(S))$. Then $\widetilde{\mu} = \Xi_1(\mu) \in S_1^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for some $\mu = \mu_{(0,\nu,0)_0} \in \mathrm{CP}_0(S) \cap \mathrm{CP}_1(S)$. Then $\int_S \xi\nu(d\xi) = 0$. Therefore

$$\widetilde{\gamma} = \lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0, T\uparrow\infty}\int_\varepsilon^T t^{-1}dt\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} x\mathbb{1}_{\{|t^{-1}x|\leq 1\}}\nu(dx) = \lim_{\varepsilon\downarrow 0, T\uparrow\infty}\int_\varepsilon^T t^{-1}\mathbb{1}_{\{t\geq 1\}}dt\int_S \xi\nu(d\xi) = 0.$$

Hence the shift parameter $\tau$ in (2.3) of $\widetilde{\mu}$ is 0.

Conversely, let $\widetilde{\mu} \in S_1^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ have the shift parameter 0. Take $\mu = \mu_{(0,\nu,0)_0}$ with $\nu = \frac{2}{\pi}\lambda_1$, where $\lambda_1$ is the spectral measure of $\widetilde{\mu}$. Then $\mu \in \mathrm{CP}_0(S) \cap \mathrm{CP}_1(S)$ and $\Xi_1(\mu) = \widetilde{\mu}$.

The rest of the proof of this case is similar to the case (i).

(iii) This case is similar to the case (i). ☐

## 5. Final remarks

The following proposition and remark are concerned with the limits of ranges of iterations of the mappings $\Xi_\alpha$, $0<\alpha<2$. The composition $\Xi_\alpha^2 = \Xi_\alpha \circ \Xi_\alpha$ is defined on the domain $\mathfrak{D}(\Xi_\alpha^2) = \{\mu \in \mathfrak{D}(\Xi_\alpha) \colon \Xi_\alpha(\mu) \in \mathfrak{D}(\Xi_\alpha)\}$.

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let $0<\alpha<2$. Then $\mathfrak{D}(\Xi_\alpha^2) = \{\delta_0\}$, so that*

$$\Xi_\alpha^m(\mathfrak{D}(\Xi_\alpha^m)) = \{\delta_0\} \quad \textit{for every } m \geq 2.$$

*Proof.* Let $\mu \in \mathfrak{D}(\Xi_\alpha^2)$ have the Lévy measure $\nu$. Since $\Xi_\alpha(\mu) \in \mathfrak{D}(\Xi_\alpha)$, its Lévy measure $\widetilde{\nu}$ has finite $\alpha$-th moment by Theorem 3.1. From (4.1) this is only possible when $\nu = 0$. Hence $\mu$ is a point mass distribution belonging to $\mathfrak{D}(\Xi_\alpha)$. By Theorem 3.1 (or simply by (3.1)), $\mu = \delta_0$. □

**Remark 5.2.** Given a stochastic integral mapping

$$\Phi_f(\mu) = \mathcal{L}\left(\int_0^\infty f(s)dX_s^{(\mu)}\right), \quad \mu \in \mathfrak{D}(\Phi_f),$$

an interesting problem is to determine the limit $\bigcap_{m=1}^\infty \Phi_f^m(\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_f^m))$. Recently, for many integrands $f$, the descriptions of $\bigcap_{m=1}^\infty \Phi_f^m(\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_f^m))$ have been obtained. In many cases, $\bigcap_{m=1}^\infty \Phi_f^m(\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_f^m))$ is the class $L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of completely selfdecomposable distributions or its subclasses; see Maejima and Sato [7], Maejima and Ueda [10, 9], Aoyama et al. [1], Sato [15, 16]. Also, in some cases, $\bigcap_{m=1}^\infty \Phi_f^m(\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_f^m))$ is the class $L_\infty(b, \mathbb{R}^d)$ of completely semi-selfdecomposable distributions with span $b>1$, which is the closure of the class of semi-stable distributions with span $b$ under convolution and weak convergence; see Maejima and Ueda [8]. Other examples are found in Section 4 of Sato [15]. However, except of $\Xi_\alpha$, we do not know any example of a stochastic integral mapping $\Phi_f$ satisfying $\Phi_f(\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_f)) \neq \{\delta_0\}$ and $\bigcap_{m=1}^\infty \Phi_f^m(\mathfrak{D}(\Phi_f^m)) = \{\delta_0\}$.

## References

[1] T. Aoyama, A. Lindner, and M. Maejima. A new family of mappings of infinitely divisible distributions related to the Goldie-Steutel-Bondesson class. *Electron. J. Probab.* **15** (2010), 1119–1142.

[2] T. Aoyama and M. Maejima. Characterizations of subclasses of type $G$ distributions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ by stochastic integral representations. *Bernoulli* **13** (2007), 148–160.

[3] T. Aoyama, M. Maejima, and J. Rosiński. A subclass of type $G$ selfdecomposable distributions on $\mathbb{R}^d$. *J. Theor. Probab.* **21** (2008), 14–34.

[4] O.E. Barndorff-Nielsen, M. Maejima, and K. Sato. Some classes of multivariate infinitely divisible distributions admitting stochastic integral representations. *Bernoulli* **12** (2006), 1–33.

[5] O.E. Barndorff-Nielsen, J. Rosinski, and S. Thorbjørnsen. General $\Upsilon$-transformations. *ALEA, Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat.* **4** (2008), 131–165.

[6] Z.J. Jurek and W. Vervaat. An integral representation for selfdecomposable Banach space valued random variables. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheor. Verw. Geb.* **62** (1983), 247–262.

[7] M. Maejima and K. Sato. The limits of nested subclasses of several classes of infinitely divisible distributions are identical with the closure of the class of stable distributions. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **145** (2009), 119–142.

[8] M. Maejima and Y. Ueda. Stochastic integral characterizations of semi-selfdecomposable distributions and related Ornstein-Uhlenbeck type processes. *Commun. Stoch. Anal.* **3** (2009), 349–367.

[9] M. Maejima and Y. Ueda. Compositions of mappings of infinitely divisible distributions with applications to finding the limits of some nested subclasses. *Electron. Commun. Probab.* **15** (2010), 227–239.

[10] M. Maejima and Y. Ueda. Nested subclasses of the class of $\alpha$-selfdecomposable distributions. *Tokyo J. Math.* **34** (2011), 383–406.

[11] J. Rosiński. Series representations of Lévy processes from the perspective of point processes. In: Barndorff-Nielsen, Ole E. (ed.) et al., Lévy processes. Theory and applications. Boston: Birkhäuser. 401-415, 2001.

[12] K. Sato. *Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.

[13] K. Sato. Transformations of infinitely divisible distributions via improper stochastic integrals. *ALEA, Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat.* **3** (2007), 67–110.

[14] K. Sato. Fractional integrals and extensions of selfdecomposability. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 2001, Lévy matters I. 1-91, Springer, 2010.

[15] K. Sato. Description of limits of ranges of iterations of stochastic integral mappings of infinitely divisible distributions. *ALEA, Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat.* **8** (2011), 1–17.

[16] K. Sato. Inversions of infinitely divisible distributions and conjugates of stochastic integral mappings. To appear in *J. Theoret. Probab.* (2013).

[17] K. Sato and M. Yamazato. Operator-selfdecomposable distributions as limit distributions of processes of Ornstein-Uhlenbeck type. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* **17** (1984), 73–100.

[18] S.J. Wolfe. On a continuous analogue of the stochastic difference equation $X_n = \rho X_{n-1} + B_n$. *Stoch. Proc. Appl.* **12** (1982), 301–312.